भारतीय मानक

गुणता प्रबन्ध तथा गुणता आश्वासन मानक

भाग 3 कंप्यूटर साफ्टवेयर के विकास, पूर्ति, अनुरक्षण तथा संस्थापन में आई एस ओ 9001 : 1994 के अनुप्रयोग की मार्गदर्शिका

(पहला पुनरीक्षण)

Indian Standard

QUALITY MANAGEMENT AND QUALITY ASSURANCE STANDARDS

PART 3 GUIDELINES FOR THE APPLICATION OF ISO 9001:1994 TO THE DEVELOPMENT, SUPPLY, INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE OF COMPUTER SOFTWARE

(First Revision)

ICS 03.120.10

BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS

MANAK BHAVAN, 9 BAHADUR SHAH ZAFAR MARG

NEW DELHI 110002

NATIONAL FOREWORD

This Indian Standard (First Revision) which is identical with ISO 9000-3:1997 'Quality management and quality assurance standards — Part 3 : Guidelines for the application of ISO 9001:1994 to the development, supply, installation and maintenance of computer software' issued by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) was adopted by the Bureau of Indian Standards on the recommendation of the Quality Management Sectional Committee (MSD 2) and approval of the Management and Systems Division Council.

This standard was originally published in 1991. It has been revised in the light of experience gained and keeping in view that the edition of IS/ISO 9001 referred in the original version was subsequently revised in 1994. India had effectively participated at different stages of the preparation of the revised version by the concerned committee of ISO.

This revised version cancels and replaces the earlier version (IS/ISO 9000-3 :1991), which has been technically revised.

IS/ISO 9000 consists of the following parts, under the general title 'Quality management and quality assurance standards' :

Part 1   Guidelines for selection and use

Part 2   Generic guidelines for the application of IS/ISO 9001, IS/ISO 9002 and IS/ISO 9003

Part 3   Guidelines for the application of IS/ISO 9001:1994 to the development, supply, installation and maintenance of computer software

Part 4   Guide to dependability programme management

The text of the ISO standard has been approved as suitable for publication as Indian Standard without deviations. Certain conventions are, however, not identical to those used in Indian Standards. Attention is particularly drawn to the following:

Wherever the words 'International Standard' appear referring to this standard, they should be read as 'Indian Standard'.

In this adopted standard, normative references appear to certain International Standards for which Indian Standards also exist. The corresponding Indian Standards which are to be substituted in their place are listed below along with their degree of equivalence for the editions indicated:

International Standard	Corresponding Indian Standard	Degree of Equivalence
ISO 8402 : 1994	IS/ISO 8402 : 1994 Quality management and quality assurance — Vocabulary (*first revision*)	Identical
ISO 9001 : 1994	IS/ISO 9001 : 1994 Quality systems — Model for quality assurance in design/development, production, installation and servicing (*first revision*)	do

In this adpoted standard informative references appear to certain International Standards for which Indian Standards also exist. The corresponding Indian Standards which are to be substituted in their place are listed below along with their degree of equivalence for the editions indicated :

International Standard	Corresponding Indian Standard	Degree of Equivalence
ISO 9000-2 : 1997	IS/ISO 9000-2 : 1997 Quality management and quality assurance standards : Part 2 Generic guidelines for the application of ISO 9001, ISO 9002 and ISO 9003 (*first revision*)	Identical

Introduction

This part of ISO 9000 provides guidance in applying the requirements of ISO 9001:1994 where computer software design, development, installation and maintenance are an element of the business of a supplier:

a) as part of a commercial contract with an external organization;

b) as a product available for a market sector;

c) in support of the business processes of the supplier;

d) as software embedded in a hardware product.

It identifies the issues which need to be addressed and is independent of the technology, life cycle models, development processes, sequence of activities, or organization structure used by a supplier.

Where the scope of an organization's activities includes areas other than computer software development, the relationship between the computer software elements of that organization's quality system and the remaining aspects should be clearly documented within the quality system as a whole.

This part of ISO 9000 provides guidelines for the application of ISO 9001:1994. **Where text has been quoted from ISO 9001:1994, that text is enclosed in a box, for ease of identification.**

Throughout this part of ISO 9000, "shall" is used to express a provision that is binding between two or more parties; "will" to express a declaration of purpose, or intent, of one party; "should" to express a recommendation among possibilities; and "may" to indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of this parts of ISO 9000.

Indian Standard

QUALITY MANAGEMENT AND QUALITY ASSURANCE STANDARDS

PART 3 GUIDELINES FOR THE APPLICATION OF ISO 9001:1994 TO THE DEVELOPMENT, SUPPLY, INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE OF COMPUTER SOFTWARE

(First Revision)

1 Scope

This part of ISO 9000 sets out guidelines to facilitate the application of ISO 9001:1994 for organizations developing, supplying, installing and maintaining computer software. It does not add to, or otherwise change, the requirements of ISO 9001.

This part of ISO 9000 is not intended to be used as assessment criteria in quality system registration/certification.

2 Normative references

The following standards contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this part of ISO 9000. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this part of ISO 9000 are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent edition of the standards indicated below. Members of IEC and ISO maintain registers of currently valid International Standards.

ISO 8402:1994, *Quality management and quality assurance — Vocabulary.*
ISO 9001:1994, *Quality systems — Model for quality assurance in design, development, production, installation and servicing.*

3 Definitions

For the purposes of this part of ISO 9000, the definitions given in ISO 8402 and the following definitions apply.

3.1 product: Result of activities or processes.

NOTE 1 A product may include service, hardware, processed materials, software or a combination thereof.
NOTE 2 A product can be tangible (e.g. assemblies or processed materials) or intangible (e.g. knowledge or concepts), or a combination thereof.
NOTE 3 For the purposes of this International Standard, the term "product" applies to the intended product offering only and not to unintended "by-products" affecting the environment. This differs from the definition given in ISO 8402.
[ISO 9001]

3.2 tender: Offer made by a supplier in response to an invitation to satisfy a contract award to provide product.
[ISO 9001]

3.3   contract: Agreed requirements between a supplier and customer transmitted by any means.
[ISO 9001]

3.4   baseline: A formally approved version of a configuration item, regardless of media, formally designated and fixed at a specific time during the configuration item's life cycle.
[ISO/IEC 12207]

3.5   development: Software life cycle process that comprises the activities of requirements analysis, design, coding, integration, testing, installation and support for acceptance of software products.

3.6   life cycle model: A framework containing the processes, activities, and tasks involved in the development, operation, and maintenance of a software product, spanning the life of the system from the definition of its requirements to the termination of its use.
[ISO/IEC 12207]

3.7   phase: Defined segment of work.

NOTE — A phase does not imply the use of any specific life cycle model.

3.8   regression testing: Testing to determine that changes made in order to correct defects have not introduced additional defects.

3.9   replication: Copying a software product from one medium to another.

3.10   software: See software product (3.11).

NOTE — In this part of ISO 9000, the term "software" is confined to computer software.

3.11   software product: The set of computer programs, procedures, and possibly associated documentation and data.
[ISO/IEC 12207]

NOTE — A software product may be designated for delivery, an integral part of another product, or used in the development process.

3.12   software item: Any identifiable part of a software product.

4   Quality system requirements

4.1   Management responsibility

4.1.1   Quality policy

> The supplier's management with executive responsibility shall define and document its policy for quality including objectives for quality and its commitment to quality. The quality policy shall be relevant to the supplier's organizational goals and the expectations and needs of its customers. The supplier shall ensure that this policy is understood, implemented and maintained at all levels of the organization.

No further software-related guidance is provided.

4.1.2 Organization

4.1.2.1 Responsibility and authority

> The responsibility, authority and the interrelation of personnel who manage, perform and verify work affecting quality shall be defined and documented, particularly for personnel who need the organizational freedom and authority to:
>
> a)   initiate action to prevent the occurrence of any nonconformities relating to product, process and quality system;
>
> b)   identify and record any problems relating to the product, process and quality system;
>
> c)   initiate, recommend or provide solutions through designated channels;
>
> d)   verify the implementation of solutions;
>
> e)   control further processing, delivery or installation of nonconforming product until the deficiency or unsatisfactory condition has been corrected.

No further software-related guidance is provided.

4.1.2.2 Resources

> The supplier shall identify resource requirements and provide adequate resources, including the assignment of trained personnel (see 4.18), for management, performance of work and verification activities including internal quality audits.

No further software-related guidance is provided.

NOTE — For further information see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclause 7.2.

4.1.2.3 Management representative

> The supplier's management with executive responsibility shall appoint a member of the supplier's own management who, irrespective of other responsibilities, shall have defined authority for:
>
> a)   ensuring that a quality system is established, implemented and maintained in accordance with this International Standard, and;
>
> b)   reporting on the performance of the quality system to the supplier's management for review and as a basis for improvement of the quality system.
>
> NOTE 5   The responsibility of a management representative may also include liaison with external parties on matters relating to the supplier's quality system.

No further software-related guidance is provided.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclause 6.3.1.6.

4.1.3 Management review

> The supplier's management with executive responsibility shall review the quality system at defined intervals sufficient to ensure its continuing suitability and effectiveness in satisfying the requirements of this International Standard and the supplier's stated quality policy and objectives (see 4.1.1). Records of such reviews shall be maintained (see 4.16).

No further software-related guidance is provided.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclause 7.1.4.

4.2 Quality system

4.2.1 General

> The supplier shall establish, document and maintain a quality system as a means of ensuring that product conforms to specified requirements. The supplier shall prepare a quality manual covering the requirements of this International Standard. The quality manual shall include or make reference to the quality system procedures and outline the structure of the documentation used in the quality system.
>
> NOTE 6 Guidance on quality manuals is given in ISO 10013.

No further software-related guidance is provided.

4.2.2 Quality system procedures

> The supplier shall:
> a) prepare documented procedures consistent with the requirements of this International Standard and the supplier's stated quality policy, and;
> b) effectively implement the quality system and its documented procedures.
>
> For the purpose of this International Standard, the range and detail of the procedures that form part of the quality system shall be dependent upon the complexity of the work, the methods used, and the skills and training needed by personnel involved in carrying out the activity.
>
> NOTE 7 Documented procedures may make reference to work instructions that define how an activity is performed.

No further software-related guidance is provided.

4.2.3 Quality planning

> The supplier shall define and document how the requirements for quality will be met. Quality planning shall be consistent with all other requirements of a supplier's quality system and shall be documented in a format to suit the supplier's method of operation. The supplier shall give consideration to the following activities, as appropriate, in meeting the specified requirements for products, projects or contracts:
> a) the preparation of quality plans;
> b) the identification and acquisition of any controls, processes, equipment (including inspection and test equipment), fixtures, resources and skills that may be needed to achieve the required quality;
> c) ensuring the compatibility of the design, the production process, installation, servicing, inspection and test procedures and the applicable documentation.
> d) the updating, as necessary, of quality control, inspection and testing techniques, including the development of new instrumentation;
> e) the identification of any measurement requirement involving capability that exceeds the known state of the art, in sufficient time for the needed capability to be developed;

---

f) the identification of suitable verification at appropriate stages in the realization of product;

g) the clarification of standards of acceptability for all features and requirements, including those which contain a subjective element;

h) the identification and preparation of quality records (see 4.16).

NOTE 8 The quality plans referred to [see 4.2.3a)] may be in the form of a reference to the appropriate documented procedures that form an integral part of the supplier's quality system.

Quality planning should address the following items, as appropriate:

a) quality requirements, expressed in measurable terms, where appropriate;

b) the life cycle model to be used for software development;

c) defined criteria for starting and ending each project phase;

d) identification of types of reviews, tests and other verification and validation activities to be carried out;

e) identification of configuration management procedures to be carried out;

f) detailed planning (including schedules, procedures, resources and approval) and specific responsibilities and authorities for:

  — configuration management,
  — verification and validation of developed products,
  — verification and validation of purchased products,
  — verification of customer-supplied products,
  — control of nonconforming product and corrective action,
  — assuring that activities described in the quality plan are carried out.

A quality plan provides the means for tailoring the application of the quality system to a specific project, product or contract.

A quality plan may include or reference generic and/or project/product/contract-specific procedures, as appropriate. A quality plan should be updated along with the progress of the development, and items concerned with each phase should be completely defined when starting that phase.

A quality plan should be reviewed and agreed by all organizations concerned in its implementation.

The document that describes a quality plan may be an independent document (entitled quality plan), a part of another document, or composed of several documents.

A quality plan may include, or reference, the plans for unit, integration, system and acceptance tests. Guidance on test planning and the test environment is provided as part of inspection and testing .

NOTE — Guidance on quality plans is given in ISO 10005 and on configuration management in ISO 10007. For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclauses 6.2 to 6.5.

4.3 Contract review

4.3.1 General

The supplier shall establish and maintain documented procedures for contract review and for the coordination of these activities.

Software may be developed as part of a contract, as a product available for a market sector, as software embedded in a hardware product, or in support of the business processes of the supplier. Contract review is applicable in all these circumstances.

4.3.2 Review

> Before submission of a tender, or the acceptance of a contract or order (statement of requirement), the tender, contract or order shall be reviewed by the supplier to ensure that:
>
> a) the requirements are adequately defined and documented; where no written statement of requirement is available for an order received by verbal means, the supplier shall ensure that the order requirements are agreed before their acceptance;
>
> b) any differences between the contract or order requirements and those in the tender are resolved;
>
> c) the supplier has the capability to meet the contract or order requirements.

The following concerns may also be relevant during the supplier's review of software tenders, contracts, or orders.

a) Customer-related concerns:

- the terminology to be used, is agreed by the relevant parties;
- the customer has the capability and resources to meet the defined contractual obligations;
- agreed criteria for customer to accept or reject product;
- the customer's responsibilities in the provision of data and related facilities;
- the extent to which the customer is to participate in joint development or in subcontracted work;
- the arrangements for joint reviews to monitor progress of the contract;
- the agreed procedure for handling changes in customer's requirements during the development and/or maintenance;
- life-cycle processes imposed by the customer;
- handling of problems detected after acceptance, including customer complaints and claims;
- the responsibility for removal of nonconformities after any warranty period;
- any obligations for the customer to upgrade to a subsequent version when the supplier dictates, or for the supplier to maintain historic versions;
- deployment and associated user training.

b) Technical concerns:

- the feasibility of meeting the requirements;
- the software development standards and procedures to be used;
- facilities, tools, software items and data, to be provided by the customer, are identified and methods defined and documented to assess their suitability for use;
- the operating system or hardware platform;
- agreement on the control of interfaces with the software product;
- replication and distribution requirements.

c) Management concerns:

- possible contingencies or risks are identified and the impact of these on subsequent activities are assessed;
- the supplier's responsibility with regard to subcontracted work;
- scheduling of progress, technical reviews and deliverables;
- installation, maintenance and support requirements;
- the timely availability of technical, human and financial resources.

d) Legal, security and confidentiality concerns:

- information handled under the contract may be subject to concerns regarding Intellectual Property Rights, licence agreements, confidentiality and the protection of such information;
- guardianship of the master copy of the product and the rights of the customer to access or verify that master copy;
- the level of information disclosure to the customer needs to be mutually agreed to by the parties;
- definition of warranty terms;
- liabilities/penalties associated with the contract.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclauses 5.2.1, 5.2.6 and 6.4.2.1.

4.3.3 Amendment to a contract

> The supplier shall identify how an amendment to a contract is made and correctly transferred to the functions concerned within the supplier's organization.

No further software-related guidance is provided.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclauses 5.1.3.5 and 5.2.3.2.

4.3.4 Records

> Records of contract reviews shall be maintained (see 4.16).
>
> NOTE 9 Channels for communication and interfaces with the customer's organization in these contract matters should be established.

No further software-related guidance is provided.

4.4 Design control

4.4.1 General

> The supplier shall establish and maintain documented procedures to control and verify the design of the product in order to ensure that the specified requirements are met.

This subclause provides guidance on the development activities of requirements analysis, architectural design, detailed design and coding. This subclause also contains guidance on development planning.

A software development project should be organized according to one or more life cycle models. Processes, activities and tasks should be planned and performed according to the nature of the life cycle model used. The life cycle model used may be adapted to suit particular project needs. This part of ISO 9000 is intended for application irrespective of the life cycle model used and is not intended to indicate a specific life cycle model.

A life cycle model identifies a set of processes and specifies when and how the processes are invoked. The sequence in which the processes are described in this part of ISO 9000 does not suggest in any way the sequence in which they are performed.

The development process is that which transforms the requirements into a software product. This process should be carried out in a disciplined manner, in order to prevent the introduction of errors. This approach reduces dependence on the verification and validation processes as the sole methods for identifying problems. The supplier should therefore establish and maintain documented procedures that ensure that the software products are developed in compliance with specified requirements and in accordance with the development plan and/or quality plan.

The following aspects inherent to the design activities should be taken into account:

a) Design method: a design method should be systematically used. Consideration should be given to the suitability of that method for the type of task, product or project and the compatibility of the application, the methods and the tools to be used.

b) Use of past experiences: utilizing lessons learned from past experiences, the supplier should avoid recurrences of the same or similar problems by applying lessons learned from previous projects, analysis of metrics and post-project reviews.

c) Subsequent processes: to the extent practical, the software product should be designed to facilitate testing, install-ation, maintenance and use.

d) Security and safety: special consideration should be given to the design for testability or validation. For products where failure may cause damage to people, property or the environment, design of such software should ensure definition of specific design requirements that specify desired immunity from, and system response to, potential failure conditions.

For coding, rules for the use of programming languages, consistent naming conventions, coding and adequate commentary rules should be specified and observed. Such rules should be documented and controlled.

The supplier may use tools, facilities and techniques in order to make the quality system guidelines in this part of ISO 9000 effective. These tools, facilities and techniques can be effective for management purposes as well as for product development and/or servicing. Whether these tools and techniques are developed internally, or purchased, the supplier should evaluate whether or not they are fit for purpose. Tools used in the implementation of the product, such as analysis and design tools, compilers, and assemblers should be approved and placed under an appropriate level of configuration management control, prior to use. The scope of use of such tools and techniques should be documented and their use reviewed as appropriate, to determine whether there is a need to improve and/or upgrade them.

Personnel may need training in the use of such tools and techniques, at commencement of usage, or after any improvements/upgrades.

4.4.2 Design and development planning

> The supplier shall prepare plans for each design and development activity. The plans shall describe or reference these activities, and define responsibility for their implementation. The design and development activities shall be assigned to qualified personnel equipped with adequate resources. The plans shall be updated as the design evolves.

For software products, development planning should determine the activities of requirements analysis, design, coding, integration, testing, installation and support for acceptance of software products. Development planning should be documented in a development plan.

A development plan should be reviewed and approved. A development plan may have other names such as "software development plan" or "software project plan".

A development plan may define how the project is to be managed, the progress reviews required and the type and frequency of reports to management, the customer, and other relevant parties, taking into account any contractual requirements.

Development planning should address the following items, as appropriate:

a) the definition of the project, including a statement of its objectives and reference to any related customer or supplier projects;

b) the definition of input and output of the project as a whole;

c) the organization of the project resources, including the team structure, responsibilities, use of subcontractors and material resources to be used;

d) organizational and technical interfaces between different individuals or groups, such as

      — sub-project teams,
      — subcontractors,

8

— users,
— customer representatives,
— quality assurance representative;

e) the identification of, or reference to

— development activities to be carried out,
— required inputs to each activity,
— required outputs from each activity,
— management and supporting activities to be carried out;

f) the analysis of the possible risks, assumptions, dependencies and problems associated with the development;

g) the schedule identifying

— the phases of the project,
— the work to be performed (the inputs to, outputs from and definition oɪ each task),
— the associated resources and timing,
— the associated dependencies,
— the milestones;

h) the identification of

— standards, rules, practices and conventions,
— tools and techniques for development, including the qualification of, and configuration controls placed on, such tools and techniques,
— configuration management practices,
— method of controlling nonconforming products,
— methods of control of nondeliverable software used to support development,
— procedures for archiving, back-up and recovery, including contingency planning,
— methods of control for virus protection;

i) the identification of related plans (including system level plans) such as

— quality plan,
— risk management plan,
— configuration management plan,
— integration plan,
— test plan,
— installation plan,
— migration plan,
— training plan,
— maintenance plan,
— re-use plan.

The development plan and any of these related plans may be an independent document, a part of another document or composed of several documents.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclause 5.2.4.

4.4.3 Organizational and technical interfaces

> Organizational and technical interfaces between different groups which input into the design process shall be defined and the necessary information documented, transmitted and regularly reviewed.

The boundaries of responsibility for each part of the software product and the way that technical information will be transmitted between all parties should be clearly defined in the development plans of suppliers or subcontractors. The supplier may require submission of a subcontractor's development plan, for review.

In defining interfaces, care should be taken to consider parties, other than the customer and supplier, who need input to the design, installation, maintenance and training activities. These may include subcontractors, regulatory authorities, associated development projects and help-desk staff. In particular, the end-users and any intermediate operations function may need to be involved to ensure that appropriate capacity and training are available to achieve committed service levels.

The customer may have certain responsibilities under the contract. Particular concerns include the need for the customer to cooperate with the supplier, to provide necessary information in a timely manner, and resolve action items. Where a customer representative is appropriate, he/she may represent the eventual user of the product, as well as executive management, and have the authority to deal with contractual matters which include, but are not limited to, the following:

a) defining the customer's requirements to the supplier;
b) answering questions from the supplier;
c) approving the supplier's proposals;
d) concluding agreements with the supplier;
e) ensuring that the customer's organization observes the agreements made with the supplier;
f) defining the acceptance criteria and procedures;
g) dealing with customer-supplied software items, data, facilities and tools that are found unsuitable for use;
h) defining the customer's responsibility.

Where mutually agreed, joint reviews involving the supplier and customer may be scheduled on a regular basis, or at significant project events, to cover the following aspects, as appropriate:

a) the progress of software development work undertaken by the supplier;
b) the progress of agreed activities being undertaken by the customer;
c) conformance of the development products to the customer's agreed requirements specification;
d) the progress of activities concerning the eventual users of the system under development, such as system conversion and training;
e) verification results;
f) acceptance test results.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclauses 5.2.6.1 and 6.6.2.

4.4.4 Design input

> Design input requirements relating to the product, including applicable statutory and regulatory requirements, shall be identified, documented and their selection reviewed by the supplier for adequacy. Incomplete, ambiguous or conflicting requirements shall be resolved with those responsible for imposing these requirements.
>
> Design input shall take into consideration the results of any contract review activities.

The requirements specification should be provided by the customer. However, where mutually agreed, the supplier may provide the specification. In such a case, the supplier should, where appropriate:

a) establish documented procedures for developing the requirements specification, including

— methods for agreeing on requirements and authorizing changes, especially during iterative development,
— methods for the evaluation of prototypes or demonstrations, where used,
— recording and reviewing discussion results on both sides,

b) develop the requirements specification in close cooperation with the customer and make efforts to prevent misunderstandings by, for example, provision of definition of terms, explanation of the background of requirements,

c) obtain the customer's approval of the requirements specification.

Interviews, surveys, studies, prototypes, demonstrations and analysis methods may be used for developing the requirements specification, as appropriate.

The requirements specification may be provided and agreed in the form of a system specification. In this case, procedures should be in place to ensure the correct allocation of system requirements into hardware and software aspects and also the appropriate interface specifications.

The requirements specification may not be fully defined at contract acceptance, and may be developed during a project. The contract may be amended when the requirements specification changes. Changes to the requirements specification should be controlled.

The requirements should include all aspects necessary to satisfy the customer's agreed needs. The requirements specification may need to take the operational environment into account. The requirements may include, but not be limited to the following characteristics: functionality; reliability; usability; efficiency; maintainability and portability (see also ISO/IEC 9126). Sub-characteristics may be specified, for example security. Safety considerations and statutory obligations may also be specified.

If the software product needs to interface with other software or hardware products, the interfaces between the software product to be developed and other software or hardware products should be specified, as far as possible, either directly or by reference, in the requirements specification.

The requirements should be expressed in terms which allow validation during product acceptance.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclauses 5.3.2 to 5.3.4.

4.4.5 Design output

Design output shall be documented and expressed in terms that can be verified and validated against design input requirements.

Design output shall:

a) meet the design input requirements;

b) contain or make reference to acceptance criteria;

c) identify those characteristics of the design that are crucial to the safe and proper functioning of the product (e.g., operating, storage, handling, maintenance and disposal requirements).

Design output documents shall be reviewed before release.

The required output from the design activity should be defined and documented in accordance with the chosen method. This documentation should be correct, complete and consistent with the requirements. Design outputs may include:

— architectural design specification;
— detailed design specification;
— source code;
— user guides.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclauses 5.3.5 to 5.3.7.

11

4.4.6 Design review

At appropriate stages of design, formal documented reviews of the design results shall be planned and conducted. Participants at each design review shall include representatives of all functions concerned with the design stage being reviewed, as well as other specialist personnel, as required. Records of such reviews shall be maintained (see 4.16).

The supplier should plan and implement review processes for all software development projects. The degree of formality and rigour of the activities associated with the review processes should be appropriate to the complexity of the product and the degree of risk associated with the specified use of the software product. The supplier should establish documented procedures for dealing with process and product deficiencies or nonconformities identified during these activities.

During design reviews, aspects inherent to the design activities should be taken into account, for example feasibility, security and safety, programming rules and testability.

The results of review, and any further activities required to ensure that the specified requirements are met, should be recorded and checked when they are completed.

Most design reviews during the development are scheduled, but there may also be unscheduled design reviews.

A documented design review procedure should address the following:

a) what is to be reviewed, when, and the type of review;
b) what functional groups would be concerned in each type of review and, if there is to be a review meeting, who would chair it;
c) what records have to be produced, for example meeting minutes, issues, problems, actions and action status.

Also the following may be addressed in the design review procedure:

a) the methods for monitoring the application of rules, practices and conventions to ensure compliance, such as peer reviews, walkthroughs, code inspections;
b) what has to be done prior to the conduct of a review, such as establishment of objectives, meeting agenda, documents required and roles of review personnel;
c) what has to be done during the review, including the techniques to be used and guidelines for all participants;
d) the success criteria for the review;
e) what follow-up methods are to be used to ensure that issues identified at the review are resolved.

Where specified in the contract, the supplier should conduct design review meetings in cooperation with the customer. Both parties should agree on the results of such reviews.

It is recommended that further design activities should proceed only when the consequences of all known deficiencies are satisfactorily resolved, or the risk of proceeding otherwise is known.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclauses 5.3.4.2, 5.3.5.6, 5.3.6.7 and 6.6.3.

4.4.7 Design verification

At appropriate stages of design, design verification shall be performed to ensure that the design stage output meets the design stage input requirements. The design verification measures shall be recorded (see 4.16).

NOTE 10 In addition to conducting design reviews (see 4.4.6), design verification may include activities such as
— performing alternative calculations,
— comparing the new design with a similar proven design, if available,
— undertaking tests and demonstrations, and
— reviewing the design stage documents before release.

Verification of design should be performed as appropriate during the development process. Design verification may comprise reviews of design output, demonstrations including prototypes and simulations, or tests. Verification may be conducted on the output from other development activities. These verification activities should be planned and conducted in accordance with the quality plan or documented procedures to ensure that process outputs meet the process input requirements.

The verification results and any further actions required to ensure that the design stage input requirements are met should be recorded and checked when the actions are completed.

Only verified design outputs should be submitted for acceptance and subsequent use. Any findings should be adequately addressed and resolved.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclauses 5.3.4.2, 5.3.5.6, 5.3.5.7, 5.3.7.5, 5.3.9 and 6.4.

4.4.8 Design validation

Design validation shall be performed to ensure that product conforms to defined user needs and/or requirements.

NOTES

11 Design validation follows successful design verification (see 4.4.7).

12 Validation is normally performed under defined operating conditions.

13 Validation is normally performed on the final product, but may be necessary in earlier stages prior to product completion.

14 Multiple validations may be performed if there are different intended uses.

Before offering the product for customer acceptance, the supplier should validate the product in accordance with its specified intended use, for example during final inspection and test.

In software development, it is important that the validation results and any further actions required to ensure that the specified requirements are met should be recorded and checked when the actions are completed. Only validated products should be submitted for acceptance and subsequent use.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclauses 5.3.1 and 6.5.

4.4.9 Design changes

All design changes and modifications shall be identified, documented, reviewed and approved by authorized personnel before their implementation.

The supplier should establish and maintain procedures for controlling the implementation of any design changes, which may arise at any time during the product life cycle, in order to:

a) document and justify the change;
b) evaluate consequences of the change;
c) approve or disapprove the change;
d) implement and verify the change.

In the software development environment, control of design changes is usually addressed under the discipline of configuration management.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclauses 5.5.2, 5.5.3 and 6.2.3.

4.5 Document and data control

4.5.1 General

> The supplier shall establish and maintain documented procedures to control all documents and data that relate to the requirements of this International Standard including, to the extent applicable, documents of external origin such as standards and customer drawings.
>
> NOTE 15 Documents and data can be in the form of any type of media, such as hard copy or electronic media.

Configuration management procedures may be used to implement document and data control. In establishing the procedures to control documents and data, the supplier should identify those documents and data, including those of external origin such as standards and customer data, which should be subject to the control procedures.

The document and data control procedures should be applied to relevant documents and data, including the following:

a) contractual documents including specification of requirements;
b) procedural documents describing the quality system to be applied in the software life cycle;
c) planning documents describing the planning and progress of activities of the supplier and the suppliers interactions with the customer;
d) product documents and data describing or associated with a particular software product.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207, clause 6.1.

4.5.2 Document and data approval and issue

> The documents and data shall be reviewed and approved for adequacy by authorized personnel prior to issue. A master list or equivalent document control procedure identifying the current revision status of documents shall be established and be readily available to preclude the use of invalid and/or obsolete documents.
>
> This control shall ensure that:
>
> a) the pertinent issues of appropriate documents are available at all locations where operations essential to the effective functioning of the quality system are performed;
>
> b) invalid and/or obsolete documents are promptly removed from all points of issue or use, or otherwise assured against unintended use;
>
> c) any obsolete documents retained for legal and/or knowledge-preservation purposes are suitably identified.

Where document control is achieved by electronic means, special attention should be given to appropriate approval, access, distribution, media and archiving procedures.

4.5.3 Document and data changes

> Changes to documents and data shall be reviewed and approved by the same functions/organizations that performed the original review and approval, unless specifically designated otherwise. The designated functions/organizations shall have access to pertinent background information upon which to base their review and approval.
>
> Where practicable, the nature of the change shall be identified in the document or the appropriate attachments.

No further software-related guidance is provided.

4.6 Purchasing

4.6.1 General

> The supplier shall establish and maintain documented procedures to ensure that purchased product (see 3.1) conforms to specified requirements.

In developing, supplying, installing and maintaining software products, purchased products may include:

— commercial off-the-shelf software;
— subcontracted development;
— computer and communications hardware;
— a tool intended to assist in the development of software;
— contract staff;
— maintenance and customer support services;
— training courses and materials.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclause 5.1.

4.6.2 Evaluation of subcontractors

> The supplier shall:
>
> a) evaluate and select subcontractors on the basis of their ability to meet subcontract requirements including the quality system and any specific quality assurance requirements;
>
> b) define the type and extent of control exercised by the supplier over subcontractors. This shall be dependent upon the type of product, the impact of subcontracted product on the quality of final product and, where applicable, on the quality audit reports and/or quality records of the previously demonstrated capability and performance of subcontractors;
>
> c) establish and maintain quality records of acceptable subcontractors (see 4.16).

No further software-related guidance is provided.

4.6.3 Purchasing data

> Purchasing documents shall contain data clearly describing the product ordered, including where applicable:
>
> a) the type, class, grade or other precise identification;
>
> b) the title or other positive identification, and applicable issues of specifications, drawings, process requirements, inspection instructions and other relevant technical data, including requirements for approval or qualification of product, procedures, process equipment and personnel;
>
> c) the title, number and issue of the quality system standard to be applied.
>
> The supplier shall review and approve purchasing documents for adequacy of specified requirements prior to release.

Purchasing documents for software development should contain data clearly describing the product ordered, including, where applicable:

a) precise identification of the product ordered, such as product name and/or product number;
b) requirements specification, or the identity of it (or the procedure to identify requirements specifications where not fixed at the time ordered);
c) standards to be applied (e.g. communications protocol, architectural specification);
d) procedures and/or work instructions;
e) development environment;
f) requirements on personnel.

The considerations under contract review may also be applied to subcontracts.

4.6.4 Verification of purchased product

4.6.4.1 Supplier verification at subcontractor's premises

> Where the supplier proposes to verify purchased product at the subcontractor's premises, the supplier shall specify verification arrangements and the method of product release in the purchasing documents.

No further software-related guidance is provided.

4.6.4.2 Customer verification of subcontracted product

> Where specified in the contract, the supplier's customer or the customer's representative shall be afforded the right to verify at the subcontractor's premises and the supplier's premises that subcontracted product conforms to specified requirements. Such verification shall not be used by the supplier as evidence of effective control of quality by the subcontractor.
>
> Verification by the customer shall not absolve the supplier of the responsibility to provide acceptable product, nor shall it preclude subsequent rejection by the customer.

No further software-related guidance is provided.

4.7 Control of customer-supplied product

> The supplier shall establish and maintain documented procedures for the control of verification, storage and maintenance of customer-supplied product provided for incorporation into the supplies or for related activities. Any such product that is lost, damaged or is otherwise unsuitable for use shall be recorded and reported to the customer (see 4.16).
>
> Verification by the supplier does not absolve the customer of the responsibility to provide acceptable product.

The supplier may be required to acquire and include product, including data, supplied by the customer. For example:

a)   software products including commercial software product supplied by the customer;
b)   development tools;
c)   development environment including network services;
d)   test and operational data;
e)   interface or other specifications;
f)   hardware;
g)   customer proprietary information, including specifications.

Consideration should be given to the requirements of the contract in addressing required licensing and the support of such software product, in any maintenance agreement related to the product to be delivered.

The means by which updates to customer-supplied items are accepted and integrated should be defined. The supplier may apply the same kinds of verification activities to customer-supplied product as to purchased product.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclause 6.1.

4.8 Product identification and traceability

> Where appropriate, the supplier shall establish and maintain documented procedures for identifying the product by suitable means from receipt and during all stages of production, delivery and installation.
>
> Where and to the extent that traceability is a specified requirement, the supplier shall establish and maintain documented procedures for unique identification of individual product or batches. This identification shall be recorded (see 4.16).

The supplier should establish and maintain procedures for identifying software items during all phases, starting from specification through development, replication and delivery. Where required by contract, these procedures may also apply after delivery of the product.

Throughout the product life cycle, there should be procedures to trace the components of the software item or product. Such tracing may vary in scope according to the requirements of the contract or marketplace, from being able to place a certain change request in a specific release, to recording the destination and usage of each variant of the product.

In software, a means by which identification and traceability may be achieved, is configuration management. Configuration management is a management discipline that applies technical and administrative direction to the development and support life cycle of configuration items, including software items. This discipline is also applicable to related documentation and hardware. Use of configuration management is dependent on the project size and complexity, and the risk level.

One objective of configuration management is to document and provide full visibility of the product's present configuration and on the status of achievement of its requirements. Another objective is that everyone working on the product at any time in its life cycle uses correct and accurate information.

A configuration management system may provide the capability to:

a) identify uniquely the versions of each software item;
b) identify the versions of each software item which together constitute a specific version of a complete product;
c) identify the build status of software products under development, delivered or installed;
d) control simultaneous updating of a given software item by two or more people working independently;
e) provide coordination for the updating of multiple products in one or more locations as required;
f) identify and track all actions and changes resulting from a change request, or problem, from initiation through to release.

The supplier should identify the configuration with the following:

a) product structure and selection of configuration items;
b) documentation and computer files;
c) naming conventions;
d) establishment of configuration baselines.

The products that may be managed by a configuration management system include:

a) documents and data pertaining to contract, process, planning and product;
b) source, object and executable code;
c) incorporated products including
    — software tools,

- — re-used software including libraries,
- — purchased software,
- — customer supplied software.

Procedures should be applied to ensure that the following can be identified for each software item:

a) the documentation;
b) any associated development tools;
c) interfaces to other software items and to hardware;
d) the hardware and software environment.

The supplier should establish and maintain configuration status accounting procedures to record, manage and report on the status of software items, of change requests and of the implementation of approved changes.

The supplier should develop and implement a configuration management plan which includes the following:

a) organizations involved in configuration management and responsibilities assigned to each of them;
b) configuration management activities to be carried out;
c) configuration management tools, techniques and methodologies to be used;
d) the point at which items should be brought under configuration control.

NOTE — For further information on configuration management, refer to ISO 10007, and ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclauses 6.1 and 6.2.

4.9 Process control

The supplier shall identify and plan the production, installation and servicing processes which directly affect quality and shall ensure that these processes are carried out under controlled conditions. Controlled conditions shall include the following:

a) documented procedures defining the manner of production, installation and servicing, where the absence of such procedures could adversely affect quality;

b) use of suitable production, installation and servicing equipment, and a suitable working environment;

c) compliance with reference standards/codes, quality plans and/or documented procedures;

d) monitoring and control of suitable process parameters and product characteristics;

e) the approval of processes and equipment, as appropriate;

f) criteria for workmanship, which shall be stipulated, in the clearest practicable manner (e.g., written standards, representative samples or illustrations);

g) suitable maintenance of equipment to ensure continuing process capability.

Where the results of processes cannot be fully verified by subsequent inspection and testing of the product and where, for example, processing deficiencies may become apparent only after the product is in use, the processes shall be carried out by qualified operators and/or shall require continuous monitoring and control of process parameters to ensure that the specified requirements are met.

The requirements for any qualification of process operations, including associated equipment and personnel (see 4.18), shall be specified.

NOTE 16 Such processes requiring pre-qualification of their process capability are frequently referred to as special processes.

Records shall be maintained for qualified processes, equipment and personnel, as appropriate (see 4.16).

As stated in guidance for the design control element of ISO 9001, a software development project should be organized according to a set of processes which transform the requirements into a software product. The "process control"

element, as applied to software development, is applicable to the replication, delivery and installation of software items or products.

Where required by contract, the supplier should establish and perform the replication procedures, considering the following, to ensure that replication is conducted correctly:

a) identification of the master and the copies including format, variant and version;
b) the number of copies of each software item to be delivered;
c) disaster recovery plans including custody of master and back-up copies where applicable;
d) the period of obligation of the supplier to supply copies and the capability of reading master copies;
e) the type of media for each software item and associated labelling;
f) checks against the possibility of software viruses;
g) the stipulation of required documentation such as manuals and user guides, including identification and packaging;
h) copyright and licensing concerns addressed and agreed;
i) controlling the environment under which the replication is effected to ensure repeatability.

For software product releases, the supplier and customer should agree and document procedures for initial and subsequent releases.

It is recommended that the release of software should establish a baseline that records the tests completed and the resolution of identified deficiencies. Quantitative analysis, to predict system reliability, may be carried out for software with safety and/or security requirements.

These procedures should include the following:

a) descriptions of the types (or classes) of release, depending on the frequency and/or impact on the customer's operations and ability to implement changes at any point in time;
b) methods by which the customer will be advised of current or planned future changes;
c) methods to confirm that changes implemented will not introduce other problems; such methods should include the determination of the level of regression testing to be applied to each release;
d) ground rules to determine where localized temporary fixes may be incorporated or release of a complete updated copy of the software product is necessary;
e) requirements for records indicating which changes have been implemented and at what locations, for multiple products and sites.

When installation of the software product is contractually required, the supplier and customer should agree on their respective roles, responsibilities and obligations, and such agreements should be documented. In providing for installation, consideration should be given to the following:

a) the need for validation at each installation required by contract;
b) an installation procedure;
c) a procedure for approval of each installation upon completion;
d) a schedule;
e) access to customer's facilities (e.g. security badges, passwords, escorts);
f) availability of skilled personnel;
g) availability of and access to customer's systems and equipment;
h) identification of what the customer is to provide at the site;
i) training for the use of new facilities.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclauses 5.3.12 and 6.3.3.

4.10 Inspection and testing

4.10.1 General

> The supplier shall establish and maintain documented procedures for inspection and testing activities in order to verify that the specified requirements for the product are met. The required inspection and testing, and the records to be established, shall be detailed in the quality plan or documented procedures.

Testing may be required at several levels, from the individual software item to the complete software product. There are several different approaches to testing, and the extent of testing, the degree of controls on the test environment, test inputs and test outputs, may vary with the approach, complexity of the product, and the risks. Software testing is also performed during software integration. The techniques described under Design review may also be relevant in inspection and testing activities.

The supplier should establish, document and review plans for unit, integration, system and acceptance tests, in accordance with the quality plan or documented procedures, covering, as appropriate:

a) test objectives;
b) configurations to be tested;
c) types of tests to be performed (e.g. functional tests, boundary tests, performance tests, usability tests);
d) sequence of tests, test cases, test procedures, test data and expected results;
e) scope of tests to be performed, in terms of coverage and volumes;
f) relevancy of the tests to the test objectives and to operational use;
g) special concerns such as security and safety;
h) test environment, tools and test software, including any associated qualification and controls;
i) testing of end-user documentation;
j) personnel required and associated training requirements, including training material;
k) the degree of independence between the personnel developing the software and the personnel performing the tests;
l) the responsibilities for specification and performance of the tests;
m) the test completion criteria;
n) the method of recording results;
o) procedures for analysing and approving results;
p) procedures for handling problems found during test execution, including suspension criteria and resumption requirements;
q) the need for, and extent of, regression tests;
r) the repeatability of the tests.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclauses 5.1.5, 5.3.5.5, 5.3.6.5, 5.3.6.6, 5.3.7, 5.3.11 and 5.3.13.

4.10.2 Receiving inspection and testing

> **4.10.2.1** The supplier shall ensure that incoming product is not used or processed (except in the circumstances described in 4.10.2.3) until it has been inspected or otherwise verified as conforming to specified requirements. Verification of conformance to the specified requirements shall be in accordance with the quality plan and/or documented procedures.
>
> **4.10.2.2** In determining the amount and nature of receiving inspection, consideration shall be given to the amount of control exercised at the subcontractor's premises and recorded evidence of conformance provided.
>
> **4.10.2.3** Where incoming product is released for urgent production purposes prior to verification, it shall be positively identified and recorded (see 4.16) in order to permit immediate recall and replacement in the event of nonconformity to specified requirements.

The supplier may be required to acquire and include software product, including data, supplied by a third party. The supplier should establish and maintain documented procedures for verification (upon receipt) of such product, taking into account the requirements of the contract.

The supplier may apply the same kinds of verification activities to customer-supplied product as to purchased product.

4.10.3 In-process inspection and testing

> The supplier shall:
>
> a) inspect and test product as required by the quality plan and/or documented procedures;
>
> b) hold product until the required inspection and tests have been completed or necessary reports have been received and verified, except when product is released under positive-recall procedures (see 4.10.2.3). Release under positive-recall procedures shall not preclude the activities outlined in 4.10.3a).

e general considerations for testing apply.

10.4 Final inspection and testing

> The supplier shall carry out all final inspection and testing in accordance with the quality plan and/or documented procedures to complete the evidence of conformance of the finished product to the specified requirements.
>
> The quality plan and/or documented procedures for final inspection and testing shall require that all specified inspection and tests, including those specified either on receipt of product or in-process, have been carried out and that the results meet specified requirements.
>
> No product shall be dispatched until all the activities specified in the quality plan and/or documented procedures have been satisfactorily completed and the associated data and documentation are available and authorized.

Before offering the product for customer acceptance, the supplier should validate the operation of the product in accordance with its specified intended use, under conditions similar to the application environment, as specified in the contract. Any differences between the validation environment and the actual application environment, and the risks associated with such differences, should be identified and justified as early in the life cycle as possible, and recorded.

In the course of validation, configuration audits or evaluations may be performed, where appropriate, before release of a configuration baseline to confirm, by examination of the review, inspection and test records, that the software product complies with its contractual or specified requirements.

When considering the test environment, the following concerns should be addressed:

a) the features to be tested;
b) the controls to be placed on the test environment, including the test tools;
c) any limitations placed on the tests, by the environment.

Where testing in the target environment is required, the following concerns should be addressed:

a) the specific responsibilities of the supplier and customer for carrying out and evaluating the test;
b) restoration of the user environment (after test).

Acceptance test support may be required when the supplier is ready to deliver the validated product. The customer should judge whether or not the product is acceptable according to previously agreed criteria and in a manner specified in the contract. Acceptance tests should be performed by the customer or may be performed on behalf of the customer by the supplier or a third party. The supplier should cooperate in acceptance activities with the customer as stipulated in the contract.

When acceptance testing, if required by the contract, is performed by the supplier, the acceptance test activities may be recognized as relating to final inspection and test, and validation. In some instances, validation, field testing and acceptance testing may be one and the same activity.

Before carrying out acceptance activities, the supplier should assist the customer to identify the following:

21

a) time schedule;
b) procedures for evaluation, including acceptance criteria;
c) software/hardware environments, including the controls on them;
d) human resources required and associated training.

The method of handling problems detected during the acceptance procedure and their disposition should be agreed between the customer and supplier and documented.

4.10.5 Inspection and test records

> The supplier shall establish and maintain records which provide evidence that the product has been inspected and/or tested. These records shall show clearly whether te product has passed or failed the inspections and/or tests according to defined acceptance criteria. Where the product fails to pass any inspection and/or test, the procedures for control of nonconforming product shall apply (see 4.13).
>
> Records shall identify the inspection authority responsible for the release of product (see 4.16).

The supplier should ensure that the test results are recorded as defined in the relevant specification.

4.11 Control of inspection, measuring and test equipment

4.11.1 General

> The supplier shall establish and maintain documented procedures to control, calibrate and maintain inspection, measuring and test equipment (including test software), used by the supplier to demonstrate the conformance of product to the specified requirements. Inspection, measuring and test equipment shall be used in a manner which ensures that the measurement uncertainty is known and is consistent with the required measurement capability.
>
> Where test software or comparative references such as test hardware are used as suitable forms of inspection, they shall be checked to prove that they are capable of verifying the acceptability of product, prior to release for use during production, installation or servicing and shall be rechecked at prescribed intervals. The supplier shall establish the extent and frequency of such checks and shall maintain records as evidence of control (see 4.16).
>
> Where the availability of technical data pertaining to the inspection, measuring and test equipment is a specified requirement, such data shall be made available, when required by the customer or customer's representative, for verification that the inspection, measuring and test equipment is functionally adequate.
>
> NOTE 17 For the purposes of this International Standard, the term "measuring equipment" includes measurement devices.

Where the supplier uses tools, facilities and techniques, in the conduct of any tests verifying conformance of the software product to specified requirements, the supplier should consider the effect of such tools on the quality of the software product, when approving them. In addition, such tools may be placed under configuration management prior to use.

The scope of use of test tools and techniques should be documented and their use reviewed at defined intervals, to determine if there is a need to improve and/or upgrade them.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclause 7.2.

4.11.2 Control procedure

The supplier shall:

a) determine the measurements to be made and the accuracy required, and select the appropriate inspection, measuring and test equipment that is capable of the necessary accuracy and precision;

b) identify all inspection, measuring and test equipment that can affect product quality, and calibrate and adjust them at prescribed intervals, or prior to use, against certified equipment having a known valid relationship to internationally or nationally recognized standards. Where no such standards exist, the basis used for calibration shall be documented;

c) define the process employed for the calibration of inspection, measuring and test equipment, including details of equipment type, unique identification, location, frequency of checks, check method, acceptance criteria and the action to be taken when results are unsatisfactory;

d) identify inspection, measuring and test equipment with a suitable indicator or approved identification record to show the calibration status;

e) maintain calibration records for inspection, measuring and test equipment (see 4.16);

f) assess and document the validity of previous inspection and test results when inspection, measuring and test equipment is found to be out of calibration;

g) ensure that the environmental conditions are suitable for the calibrations, inspections, measurements and tests being carried out;

h) ensure that the handling, preservation and storage of inspection, measuring and test equipment is such that the accuracy and fitness for use are maintained;

i) safeguard inspection, measuring and test facilities, including both test hardware and test software, from adjustments which would invalidate the calibration setting.

NOTE 18   The metrological confirmation system for measuring equipment given in ISO 10012 may be used for guidance.

Calibration is a verification technique that is not directly applicable to software. However, it may be applicable to hardware and tools used to test and validate the software. Consequently, items b) to f) above are not applicable to the software itself but may be applicable to the environment used when testing the software.

4.12 Inspection and test status

The inspection and test status of product shall be identified by suitable means, which indicate the conformance or nonconformance of product with regard to inspection and tests performed. The identification of inspection and test status shall be maintained, as defined in the quality plan and/or documented procedures, throughout production, installation and servicing of the product to ensure that only product that has passed the required inspections and tests [or released under an authorized concession (see 4.13.2)] is dispatched, used or installed.

The supplier should have a means of identifying the stage of development of the components of the product and the test status. For example: untested; tested with error; tested successfully or approved for release to any further development activity. The creation of builds or the movement of software items between development, test and operational environments may serve to indicate this status. Inspection and test records may be used to identify inspection and test status.

NOTE —   For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclause 6.2.

4.13 Control of nonconforming product

4.13.1 General

> The supplier shall establish and maintain documented procedures to ensure that product that does not conform to specified requirements is prevented from unintended use or installation. This control shall provide for identification, documentation, evaluation, segregation (when practical), disposition of nonconforming product, and for notification to the functions concerned.

In software development, segregation of nonconforming items may be effected by transferring the item out of a production or a testing environment, into a separate environment. In the case of embedded software it may become necessary to segregate the nonconforming item (hardware) which contains the nonconforming software.

The supplier should identify at what points control and recording of nonconforming product is required. Where a software item manifests a defect during the development or maintenance process, the investigation and resolution of such defects should be controlled and recorded.

A configuration management process may be invoked to implement part or the whole of this requirement.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclauses 6.2 and 6.8.

4.13.2 Review and disposition of nonconforming product

> The responsibility for review and authority for the disposition of nonconforming product shall be defined.
>
> Nonconforming product shall be reviewed in accordance with documented procedures. It may be:
> a) reworked to meet the specified requirements;
> b) accepted with or without repair by concession;
> c) regraded for alternative applications; or
> d) rejected or scrapped.
>
> Where required by the contract, the proposed use or repair of product [see 4.13.2b)] which does not conform to specified requirements shall be reported for concession to the customer or customer's representative. The description of the nonconformity that has been accepted, and of repairs, shall be recorded to denote the actual condition (see 4.16).
>
> Repaired and/or reworked product shall be re-inspected in accordance with the quality plan and/or documented procedures.

Attention should be paid to the following aspects, in the disposition of nonconformities:

a) any discovered problems and their possible impacts to any other parts of the software should be noted and those responsible notified so the problems can be tracked until they are resolved;
b) areas impacted by any modifications should be identified and re-tested, and the method for determining the scope of re-testing should be identified in a documented procedure;
c) the priority of the nonconformities.

With software, repair or rework to achieve fulfilment of specified requirements creates a new software version. In software development, disposition of nonconforming product may be achieved by:

a) repair or rework (i.e. to fix defects) to meet the requirement;
b) acceptance with or without repair by concession;
c) treatment as a conforming product after the amendment of requirements;
d) rejection.

4.14 Corrective and preventive action

4.14.1 General

> The supplier shall establish and maintain documented procedures for implementing corrective and preventive action.
>
> Any corrective or preventive action taken to eliminate the causes of actual or potential nonconformities shall be to a degree appropriate to the magnitude of problems and commensurate with the risks encountered.
>
> The supplier shall implement and record any changes to the documented procedures resulting from corrective and preventive action.

Where corrective action directly affects the software product, the configuration management process may be invoked to manage the changes. Corrective actions that involve changes to the software life cycle processes should be reviewed by management and implemented by means of document and data control procedures.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclauses 6.2, 6.8 and 7.3.

4.14.2 Corrective action

> The procedures for corrective action shall include:
> a) the effective handling of customer complaints and reports of product nonconformities;
> b) investigation of the cause of nonconformities relating to product, process and quality system, and recording the results of the investigation (see 4.16);
> c) determination of the corrective action needed to eliminate the cause of nonconformities;
> d) application of controls to ensure that corrective action is taken and that it is effective.

No further software-related guidance is provided.

4.14.3 Preventive action

> The procedures for preventive action shall include:
> a) the use of appropriate sources of information such as processes and work operations which affect product quality, concessions, audit results, quality records, service reports and customer complaints to detect, analyze and eliminate potential causes of nonconformities;
> b) determination of the steps needed to deal with any problems requiring preventive action;
> c) initiation of preventive action and application of controls to ensure that it is effective;
> d) ensuring that relevant information on actions taken is submitted for management review (see 4.1.3).

Analysis of the root causes of nonconformities may provide input to preventive action. The measures taken to reverse unfavourable trends in metric levels may be considered as preventive actions.

4.15 Handling, storage, packaging, preservation and delivery

4.15.1 General

> The supplier shall establish and maintain documented procedures for handling, storage, packaging, preservation and delivery of product.

No further software-related guidance is provided.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclauses 5.2.7.1, 5.3.13.2 and 6.2.6.

4.15.2 Handling

> The supplier shall provide methods of handling product that prevent damage or deterioration.

Damage to software means alteration of contents. Software infection by computer virus should be treated as damaged software.

Software information does not deteriorate; however, the media on which it is stored may be subject to deterioration, and appropriate precautions should be taken by the supplier.

Virus protection requirements, as applicable to software products designated for delivery, are described as part of the guidance on replication.

4.15.3 Storage

> The supplier shall use designated storage areas or stock rooms to prevent damage or deterioration of product, pending use or delivery. Appropriate methods for authorizing receipt to and dispatch from such areas shall be stipulated.
>
> In order to detect deterioration, the condition of product in stock shall be assessed at appropriate intervals.

A system should be established for:

a)   storing software items;
b)   controlling access to software items;
c)   maintaining versions of products in established baselines.

To protect the integrity of the product and provide a basis for the control of change, it is essential that software items be held in an environment which:

a)   protects them from unauthorized change or corruption;
b)   permits the controlled access to and retrieval of the master and any copies.

Consideration should be given to the storage of computer media, particularly with respect to the electromagnetic and electrostatic environment.

4.15.4 Packaging

> The supplier shall control packing, packaging and marking processes (including materials used) to the extent necessary to ensure conformance to specified requirements.

Packaging requirements, as applicable to software products, designated for delivery, are described as part of the guidance on replication. In cases where electronic storage is used there may be no physical activity related to this clause. During packaging, software may be compressed and/or encrypted.

4.15.5 Preservation

> The supplier shall apply appropriate methods for preservation and segregation of product when the product is under the supplier's control.

A system should be established to provide the capability to preserve software items, for example:

a) regular back-up of software;
b) ensuring the timely copying of software to replacement media;
c) the storage of software media in a protected environment;
d) the storage of software media in redundant environments to ensure disaster recovery.

4.15.6 Delivery

> The supplier shall arrange for the protection of the quality of product after final inspection and test. Where contractually specified, this protection shall be extended to include delivery to destination.

Delivery may be achieved by physical movement of media containing software, or by electronic transmission. In cases where electronic transmission is used, consideration should be given to protection against damage by viruses.

Documented procedures should be established and maintained for verifying the correctness and completeness of copies of the software product delivered. These procedures should provide for appropriate preventive action to protect the software product from damage during delivery. In addition, documented procedures should exist to verify that an appropriate level of software virus checking has been performed and that appropriate measures have been taken to protect product integrity.

4.16 Control of quality records

> The supplier shall establish and maintain documented procedures for identification, collection, indexing, access, filing, storage, maintenance and disposition of quality records.
>
> Quality records shall be maintained to demonstrate conformance to specified requirements and the effective operation of the quality system. Pertinent quality records from the subcontractor shall be an element of these data.
>
> All quality records shall be legible and shall be stored and retained in such a way that they are readily retrievable in facilities that provide a suitable environment to prevent damage or deterioration and to prevent loss. Retention times of quality records shall be established and recorded. Where agreed contractually, quality records shall be made available for evaluation by the customer or the customer's representative for an agreed period.
>
> NOTE 19   Records may be in the form of any type of media, such as hard copy or electronic media.

Examples of quality records are:

— documented test results;
— problem reports;
— change requests;
— annotated documents;
— review records;
— minutes;
— audit reports.

Where records are held on electronic media, consideration of the retention times and accessibility of the records should take into account the rate of degradation of the electronic images and the availability of the devices and software needed to access the records.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclause 6.1.6.2.

4.17 Internal quality audits

> The supplier shall establish and maintain documented procedures for planning and implementing internal quality audits to verify whether quality activities and related results comply with planned arrangements and to determine the effectiveness of the quality system.
>
> Internal quality audits shall be scheduled on the basis of the status and importance of the activity to be audited and shall be carried out by personnel independent of those having direct responsibility for the activity being audited.
>
> The results of the audits shall be recorded (see 4.16) and brought to the attention of the personnel having responsibility in the area audited. The management personnel responsible for the area shall take timely corrective action on deficiencies found during the audit.
>
> Follow-up audit activities shall verify and record the implementation and effectiveness of the corrective action taken (see 4.16).
>
> NOTES
>
> > 20 The results of internal quality audits form an integral part of the input to management review activities (see 4.1.3).
> >
> > 21 Guidance on quality system audits is given in ISO 10011.

When software suppliers organize their work into projects, the audit plan should define a selection of projects. Consideration should be given to covering progressively the whole quality system of the supplier. This may be achieved by auditing several projects at different stages throughout the life cycle. Where a single project is consuming most of the resources of the organization, audits of that project may be scheduled as it progresses. Where the intended project changes its timescales, the internal audit schedule should be reviewed, either to change the timing of the audit, or to consider a different project.

The supplier's internal auditor should consider the consistency between the project quality plans with the organization's quality system.

NOTE For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclauses 6.7, 6.8 and 7.3.2.

4.18 Training

> The supplier shall establish and maintain documented procedures for identifying training needs and provide for the training of all personnel performing activities affecting quality. Personnel performing specific assigned tasks shall be qualified on the basis of appropriate education, training and/or experience, as required. Appropriate records of training shall be maintained (see 4.16).

The training needs to be addressed should be determined considering the specific tools, techniques, methods and computer resources to be used in the development and management of the software product. It might also be required to include training in the skills and knowledge of the specific field with which the software is to deal. Qualification and training requirements should be documented.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclause 7.4.

4.19 Servicing

> Where servicing is a specified requirement, the supplier shall establish and maintain documented procedures for performing, verifying and reporting that the servicing meets the specified requirements.

For the purposes of this part of ISO 9000, servicing is recognized as being related to the software terms: maintenance and customer support. Customer support is described in ISO 9000-2.

Maintenance activities for software products are typically classified into the following.

a) Problem resolution: this involves the detection and analysis of software nonconformities causing operational problems, and correction of the underlying software faults. When resolving problems, temporary fixes may be used to minimize downtime and permanent modifications carried out later.

b) Interface modifications: these may be required when additions or changes are made to the hardware system, or components, controlled by the software.

c) Functional expansion or performance improvement.

For interface modifications and functional expansion, depending upon the scale of work, change control procedures should apply, or a new and separate development project should be initiated, and the whole of this part of ISO 9000 becomes relevant. The maintenance activities described in this clause are therefore limited to problem resolution (often called corrective maintenance).

When maintenance of the software product is requested by the customer after initial delivery and installation, this should be stipulated in the contract. The supplier should establish and maintain documented procedures for performing maintenance activities and verifying that such activities meet the specified requirements for maintenance. Maintenance activities may also be performed on the development environment, tools and documentation.

The items to be maintained, and the period of time for which they should be maintained, should be specified in the contract. The following are examples of such items:

a) program(s);
b) data and their structures;
c) specifications;
d) documents for customer and/or user;
e) documents for supplier's use;
f) test plans.

All maintenance activities should be carried out and managed in accordance with a maintenance plan and/or procedures defined and agreed beforehand by the supplier and customer. The plan should include the following, as appropriate:

a) scope of maintenance;
b) identification of the initial status of the product;
c) support organization(s);
d) maintenance activities;
e) maintenance records and reports;
f) configuration management activities;
g) proposed release schedule.

Where appropriate, maintenance activities should be recorded and the records retained. Rules for the submission of maintenance reports should be established and agreed upon by the supplier and customer.

The maintenance records should include the following items, as appropriate, for each software product being maintained:

a) problem reports that have been received and the current status of each;
b) organization responsible for responding to requests for assistance or implementing the appropriate corrective actions;
c) priorities that have been assigned to the corrective actions;
d) results of the corrective actions;
e) statistical data on failure occurrences and maintenance activities.

The record of the maintenance activities may be used for evaluation and enhancement of the software product and for improvement of the quality system itself.

NOTE — For further information, see ISO/IEC 12207:1995, subclauses 5.4.4, 5.5. and 6.8.

4.20 Statistical techniques

4.20.1 Identification of need

The supplier shall identify the need for statistical techniques required for establishing, controlling and verifying process capability and product characteristics.

4.20.2 Procedures

The supplier shall establish and maintain documented procedures to implement and control the application of the statistical techniques identified in 4.20.1.

Statistical techniques may be used to analyse measurements of process capability and product characteristics, with the objective of producing data that can be used to evaluate product quality and process capability. The data may be used to assess conformance with quality requirements, when they are expressed quantitatively.

Examples of product characteristics to which statistical techniques may be applied are:

— testability;
— usability;
— reliability;
— maintainability;
— availability.

Examples of software process capability characteristics to which statistical techniques may be applied are:

— process maturity;
— number and type of defects in process outputs;
— defect removal efficiency;
— milestone slippage.

The term "metric" means a measurable characteristic.

Metrics should comply with the following principles:

a) the metric should bring value to the process or product;
b) the metric is clearly defined;
c) the meaning of the metric in relation to software product quality or development process quality is understood;
d) the way in which the metric may be influenced (such as by changes in design and development techniques) is identified;
e) the direction of change in the metric, indicative of improved quality, is understood.

Independent of the metrics used, it is important that levels are known and used for process control and improvement rather than which specific metrics are used.

Different process metrics may be appropriate for different software products produced by the same supplier.

NOTE — Further guidance may be found in ISO/IEC 9126.

Annex A
(normative)

Bibliography

[1]   ISO 9000-2:1997, *Quality management and quality assurance standards — Part 2: Generic guidelines for the application of ISO 9001, ISO 9002 and ISO 9003.*

[2]   ISO 10005:1995, *Quality management — Guidelines for quality plans.*

[3]   ISO 10006:—[1)], *Quality management — Guidelines to quality in project management.*

[4]   ISO 10007:1995, *Quality management — Guidelines for configuration management.*

[5]   ISO 10011-1:1990, *Guidelines for auditing quality systems — Part 1: Auditing.*

[6]   ISO 10011-2:1991, *Guidelines for auditing quality systems — Part 2: Qualification criteria for quality systems auditors.*

[7]   ISO 10011-3:1991, *Guidelines for auditing quality systems — Part 3: Management of audit programmes.*

[8]   ISO 10012-1:1992, *Quality assurance requirements for measuring equipment — Part 1: Metrological confirmation system for measuring equipment.*

[9]   ISO 10013:1995, *Guidelines for developing quality manuals.*

[10] ISO/IEC 9126:1991, *Information technology — Software product evaluation — Quality characteristics and guidelines for their use.*

[11] ISO/IEC 12207:1995, *Information technology — Software life cycle processes.*

---

1) To be published.

Annex B

(informative)

Cross-references to ISO/IEC 12207

This cross-reference table:

- identifies ISO/IEC 12207 subclauses that may assist in the construction of a quality system that conforms to ISO 9001;
- summarizes the notes to be found within the body of this part of ISO 9000.

- is not intended to be a complete description of the relation between ISO/IEC 12207 and ISO 9001, in particular how ISO/IEC 12207 covers the requirements of ISO 9001 and vice versa.

ISO 9000-3	ISO/IEC 12207
4.1.2	7.2, 6.3.1.6
4.1.3	7.1.4
4.2.3	6.2, 6.3, 6.4, 6.5
4.3.2	5.2.1, 5.2.6, 6.4.2.1
4.3.3	5.1.3.5, 5.2.3.2
4.4.2	5.2.4
4.4.3	5.2.6.1, 6.6.2
4.4.4	5.3.2, 5.3.3, 5.3.4
4.4.5	5.3.5, 5.3.6, 5.3.7
4.4.6	5.3.4.2, 5.3.5.6, 5.3.6.7, 6.6.3
4.4.7	5.3.4.2, 5.3.5.6, 5.3.5.7, 5.3.7.5, 5.3.9, 6.4
4.4.8	5.3.1, 6.5
4.4.9	5.5.2, 5.5.3, 6.2.3
4.5.1	6.1
4.6.1	5.1
4.7	6.1
4.8	6.1, 6.2
4.9	5.3.12, 6.3.3
4.10.1	5.1.5, 5.3.5.5, 5.3.6.5, 5.3.6.6, 5.3.7, 5.3.8, 5.3.9, 5.3.10, 5.3.11, 5.3.13
4.11.1	7.2
4.12	6.2
4.13.1	6.2, 6.8
4.14.1	6.2, 6.8, 7.3
4.15.1	5.2.7.1, 5.3.13.2, 6.2.6
4.16	6.1.6.2
4.17	6.7, 6.8, 7.3.2
4.18	7.4
4.19	5.4.4, 5.5, 6.8

International Standard	*Corresponding Indian Standard*	*Degree of Equivalence*
ISO 10005 : 1995	IS/ISO 10005 :1995 Quality management — Guidelines for quality plans	Identical
ISO 10006 : 1997	IS/ISO 10006 :1997 Quality management — Guidelines to quality in project management	do
ISO 10007 : 1995	IS/ISO 10007 :1995 Quality management — Guidelines for configuration management	do
ISO 10011-1 : 1990	IS/ISO 10011-1 : 1990 Guidelines for auditing quality systems : Part 1 Auditing	do
ISO 10011-2 : 1991	IS/ISO 10011-2 : 1991 Guidelines for auditing quality systems : Part 2 Qualification criteria for quality systems auditors	do
ISO 10011-3 : 1991	IS/ISO 10011-3 :1991 Guidelines for auditing quality systems : Part 3 Management of audit programmes	do
ISO 10012-1 : 1992	IS/ISO 10012-1 :1992 Quality assurance requirements for measuring equipment : Part 1 Metrological confirmation system for measuring equipment	do
ISO 10013 : 1995	IS/ISO 10013 : 1995 Guidelines for developing quality manuals	do
ISO/IEC 9126 : 1991	ISO/IEC 9126 : 1991 Information technology — Software product evaluation — Quality characteristics and guidelines for their use	do

The concerned Sectional Committee has reviewed the provisions of ISO/IEC 12207:1995 'Information technology — Software life cycle processes' which has also been referred in this adopted standard and has decided that it is acceptable for use in conjunction with this standard.

At the time of publication, the editions of standards mentioned above, both normative and informative, were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and users of these standards are advised to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the standards indicated.

Bureau of Indian Standards

BIS is a statutory institution established under the *Bureau of Indian Standards Act,* 1986 to promote harmonious development of the activities of standardization, marking and quality certification of goods and attending to connected matters in the country.

Copyright

BIS has the copyright of all its publications. No part of these publications may be reproduced in any form without the prior permission in writing of BIS. This does not preclude the free use, in the course of implementing the standard, of necessary details, such as symbols and sizes, type or grade designations. Enquiries relating to copyright be addressed to the Director (Publications), BIS.

Review of Indian Standards

Amendments are issued to standards as the need arises on the basis of comments. Standards are also reviewed periodically; a standard along with amendments is reaffirmed when such review indicates that no changes are needed; if the review indicates that changes are needed, it is taken up for revision. Users of Indian Standards should ascertain that they are in possession of the latest amendments or edition by referring to the latest issue of 'BIS Handbook' and 'Standards : Monthly Additions'.

This Indian Standard has been developed from Doc : No. MSD 2 (127).

Amendments Issued Since Publication

Amend No.	Date of Issue	Text Affected

BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS